



Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <https://sam.ensam.eu>
Handle ID: <http://hdl.handle.net/10985/14016>

To cite this version :

Alexandra BELYANOVSKAYA, Natalia BARANOVSKAYA, Nicolas PERRY, Bertrand LARATTE -
THE INNOVATION OF THE HUMAN EXPOSURE FACTOR ESTIMATION FOR LCA - 2018

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository

Administrator : archiveouverte@ensam.eu



THE INNOVATION OF THE HUMAN EXPOSURE FACTOR ESTIMATION FOR LCA

The 11th of October 2018

**ARTS
ET MÉTIERS**

**CONCEVOIR
DEMAIN**

PhD candidate: Alexandra BELYANOVSKAYA

Co-advisor: : Professor Nataliya BARANOVSKAYA (TPU)

Co-advisor : Professor Nicolas PERRY (ENSAM)

Supervisor: Associate professor Bertrand LARATTE (ENSAM)



**ARTS
ET MÉTIERS**
ParisTech

1 SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

The preparation of this work is managed by TPU Russia and ENSAM France

TOMSK
POLYTECHNIC
UNIVERSITY




ТОМСКИЙ
ПОЛИТЕХНИЧЕСКИЙ
УНИВЕРСИТЕТ



**ARTS
ET MÉTIERS**
ParisTech

Contexte



Research questions



How can we analyze the chemical elements content in biological materials?



What are the main sources of negative influence on human health?



Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?



Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?



Life Cycle Impact

Assessment (LCIA) is vital phase of any LCA. Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) aims at understanding and quantifying the magnitude and significance of the potential environmental impacts of a product or a service throughout its entire life cycle.

LCIA models (e.g. the **USEtox**) is a sufficient tool to model the human health and ecosystems impact.

Limitations of the method:

Lack of spatial differentiation



Biomonitoring is an analytical approach which focuses directly on measuring the volume of toxic chemical compounds present in the body¹.

The methods of **bioindication** is based on analysis of the biota such as animal and human tissues, plants or microorganisms.

Limitation of method:

Absence of scale of impact, that does not allow to normalize the impact.

1. Standards, T. I. International Standard ISO 14040 1991, 1991.

2. The International Standards Organisation INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO 14044 assessment Requirements and guidelines. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* **2006**, 2006, 652–668, doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0297-3.

3. Fantke, P.; Bijster, M.; Guignard, C.; Hauschild, M.; Huijbregts, M.; Jolliet, O.; Kounina, A.; Magaud, V.; Margni, M.; McKone, T.; Posthuma, L.; Rosenbaum, R. K.; van de Meent, D.; van Zelm, 2, R. *USEtox® 2.0, Documentation version 1*; 2017; ISBN 978-87-998335-0-4.


1 - Kowalski, 1974; Glazovskaya, 1988; Saet et al., 1990; Alekseenko, 2006; Rikhvanov et al., 2006; Yazikov et al., 2010; Strakhovenko, 2011; Baranovskaya et al., 2015



Which methods should we use to assess chemicals' impact on population?

How can we assess the technological environmental impact and human health impact?

According to the previous investigations we have a wide massive of analytical data of chemical elements content in biomaterials in the studied areas.



Research methods



Modeling part:

Characterization factor modification and calculation




Experimental part:

Measurement of the concentration coefficient of Cr




The experimental part



Sampling area

Tomsk district of Tomsk region in Russia






Sampling areas

Why those areas?

- High level of risks of water use;
- A large number of fuel cycle facilities (NFC “The Siberian Chemical Combine”, hydroelectric power station, fossil fuel burning power station);
- Natural anomalies.




Samples of 2 pigs are taken
in two districts of Tomsk
region Russia.

Methods of analysis of samples


The samples were analyzed by the method of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (**ICP-MS**) in the analytical center of OOO "Chemical-Analytical Center" Plasma", 18 samples in total amount.



Samples are taken



Subject of study biological material (BM) (organs and tissues) of *Sus scrofa domestica*




Pork occupies 37% of the world's meat production

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) classification, pork is one of the most indispensable foods.



Sampling map of pork meat according to own investigation and literature references




1. Korea; 2. USA; 3. Germany; 4. Austria; 5. Netherlands; 6. Belgium; 7. Russia; 8. China; 9. Serbia

| Country | Cr mean, [mg/kg] | St. deviation | Number of samples | Data of sampling [year] | Geo zone in USEtox model | Reference |
|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Korea | 0,003 | 0,0001 | 227 | 2016 | Japan and Korean peninsula | Kim, J. S.; Hwang, I. M.; Lee, G. H.; Park, Y. M.; Choi, J. Y.; Jamila, N.; Khan, N.; Kim, K. S. Geographical origin authentication of pork using multi-element and multivariate data analyses |
| USA | 0,0009 | 0,0001 | 36 | 2016 | USA and southern Canada | |
| Germany | 0,0006 | 0,0001 | 12 | 2016 | Europe | |
| Austria | 0,00007 | 0,00001 | 15 | | | |
| Netherlands | 0,0005 | 0,0001 | 14 | | | |
| Belgium | 0,0005 | 0,00001 | 19 | | | |
| Serbia | 0,08 | 0,01 | 192 | 2017 | | |
| China | 2,01 | 0,2 | 100 | 2016 | Southern China | Zhao, Y.; Wang, D.; Yang, S. Effect of organic and conventional rearing system on the mineral content of pork |

Modeling part

$$IS = \sum_i \sum_x CF_{x,i} \times M_{x,i}$$

Modification

$$CF = EF \times XF \times FF$$


Default values given by the USEtox model


- **Fate factor** (FF) [$\text{kg}_{\text{in compartment}}$ per $\text{kg}_{\text{emitted/day}}$] represents the persistence of a chemical in the environment (e.g. in days) as well as the relative distribution, and the exposure factor expresses the availability for human or ecosystem contact represented by the fraction of the chemical transferred to the receptor population in a specific time period such as a day.
- **Exposure factor** (XF) [$\text{kg}_{\text{intake/day}}$ per $\text{kg}_{\text{in compartment}}$] describes the effective human intake of a specific environmental medium – air, water, soil – through inhalation and ingestion.
- **Effect factor** (EF) [$\text{kg}_{\text{intake/day}}$] reflects the impact on human health and the state of ecosystems due to the arrival of a chemical element / substance in the living organism in various ways (through air, water, soil or food).

The framework of calculations inside the model

The clarke concentration ($\text{Clarke}_{\text{biosphere}}$) expresses the average concentration of metal in biosphere.

$$\text{Clarke}_{\text{biosphere}} = 7 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

| Macroelements ($n \cdot 10^{-3} \% \dots n \cdot 10 \%$) Microelements ($< n \cdot 10^{-3} \%$) | | | | Microelements ($< n \cdot 10^{-3}$) | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Elements | %, content | Elements | %, content | Elements | %, content | Elements | %, content |
| O | 70 | Mn | $9,6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | Pb | $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | Be | $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| C | 18 | Al | $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | Ni | $8 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Ga | $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| H | 10,5 | Zn | $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | Cr | $7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Se | $2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| N | $3 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | Sr | $1,6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | V | $6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Ag | $1,2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| Ca | $5 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | Ti | $1,3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | Li | $6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | W | $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| K | $3 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | B | $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | Co | $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | U | $8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| Si | $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | Ba | $9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | La | $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Hf | $5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| Na | $2 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | Cu | $3,2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | Y | $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Sb | $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| P | $7 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | Zr | $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Mo | $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Cd | $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| S | $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | Rb | $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | I | $1,2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Hg | $< n \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| Mg | $4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | Br | $1,6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | Sn | $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | Au | $n \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| Cl | $2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | Br | $1,6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | As | $6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | Ra | $n \cdot 10^{-12}$ |
| Fe | $1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | F | $1,4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | Cs | $6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | | |



The framework of calculations inside the model

$$BAF_{xp,i} = \frac{C_{xp}}{C_i}$$

Calculation of bioaccumulation factor,

Where:

- C_{xp} is a concentration of Cr in the food substrate corresponding to exposure pathway xp – such as meat or milk
- C_i a specific compartment i such soil, air, water.



$$CC_{Cr} = \frac{C_{Chromium}}{C_{Clarke \text{ in biosphere}}}$$

Calculation of concentration coefficient,

Where:


- $C_{Chromium}$ is a concentration of Cr (C_{Cr}) in the pork meat (according to the chemical analysis)
- $C_{Clarke \text{ in biosphere}}$ is a clarke concentration of Cr in biosphere

2 RESULTS


1. Results of statistical analysis
2. Results of the modeling part



Results of data extrapolation




The Characterization factor of chromium in pork meat via air, CTU_H






Results of data extrapolation



The Characterization factor in the geo zone "Europe" of chromium in pork meat via soils (right), CTU_H

The Characterization factor in the geo zone "Europe" of chromium in pork meat via air, CTU_H



Conclusions

General conclusions:

1. Integration of experimental data into the USEtox model is prepared
2. The total Characterization factor is modified with Concentration coefficient of Chromium

Specific conclusions:

1. The significant difference between CF_{modified} and CF_{default} is find out. As in the level of s region, as in level of a country factor proposed be the USEtox model is lower then factor calculated with experimental results. Possibly the model underestimates results because it does not include the local data. The importance of the local data is proved by the fact, the CF is able to vary greatly within one administrative unit.
2. The variation of CF inside of the small administrative areas can be connected with ignorance of geographical and ecological specifications of each geo are presented in the model. Information provided by the USEtox model reflects transfer of metals just with specific influence as dust or coal pollution.
3. The analytical method can be complemented by the regional aspect to specify the anthropogenic influence.

THE INNOVATION OF THE HUMAN EXPOSURE FACTOR ESTIMATION FOR LCA

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!

The 11th of October 2018

**ARTS
ET MÉTIERS**

**CONCEVOIR
DEMAIN**

PhD candidate: Alexandra BELYANOVSKAYA

Co-advisor : Professor Nataliya BARANOVSKAYA (TPU)

Co-advisor : Professor Nicolas PERRY (ENSAM)


Supervisor: Associate professor Bertrand LARATTE (ENSAM)



**ARTS
ET MÉTIERS**
ParisTech



Results of statistical analysis



Statistical analysis of results of ICP-MS (mg/kg) of pork meat, 18 samples in total

Concentration of Cr in the pork meat, in the different geo zones by method of ICP-MS [mg/kg]

